



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/527,914	03/16/2005	Rainer Heller	2002P11020WOUS	9070
7590 04/27/2009				
Siemens Corporation Intellectual Property Department 170 Wood Avenue South Iselin, NJ 08830			EXAMINER WU, JUNCHUN	
			ART UNIT 2191	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 04/27/2009	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/527,914

Applicant(s)

HELLER ET AL.

Examiner

JUNCHUN WU

Art Unit

2191

Period for Reply -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 February 2009.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 21, 24-29, 31-36 and 38 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 21, 24-29, 31-36 and 38 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is in response to the amendment filed on Feb. 9, 2009.
2. Claims 21, 24-29, 31-36, and 38 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 21, 24-29, 31-36 and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gloudeman et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,028,998 hereinafter "Gloudeman"), in view of Azarya et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,978,578 hereinafter "Azarya").
5. Per claim 21 and 29

Gloudeman discloses

A storage medium which stores a software system for providing a programming environment to create device-independent functionality among automation devices in an automation system of the type including a plurality of automation devices (col.2 lines 20-28 "*The present invention provides an application framework that greatly simplifies developing building automation systems. The framework encapsulates the knowledge and best practices of experienced system designers, leaving the user free to create an application to solve a given building automation problem, free from worry about device-dependent details. The application framework is*

designed to be consistent across all devices on a building automation network, to ensure that the devices communicate and operate in a similar fashion.”), the system comprising:

- one or more automation engineering editors for generating solutions for one or more of the automation devices (col.11 lines 26-28 “*Typically an application is developed using the application framework to generate the application whereupon it is downloaded to the system to implement a solution.*”).
- the software system providing encapsulation of specific functions of at least one of the automation devices and providing a base functionality of the one automation devices (col.2 lines 62-66 “*The application framework of the invention provides standard object types, discussed more fully below. Instances of the standard object types are created by the application development tool and then distributed to devices on the building automation system.*” & col.2 lines 41-48 “*The user creates an application to solve a building automation problem using one or more of these standard components. The model upon which the framework is based is an application-centric model. The standard components encapsulate, and thereby hide, device-specific details so that the user creates applications in terms of the desired system functionality.*”).
- the editor and compiler providing an automation functionality in a standard framework for application among automation devices having different command sets for being programmed (col.2 lines 27-32 “*The application framework is designed to be consistent across all devices on a building automation network, to ensure that the devices communicate and operate in a similar fashion. The application framework defines a*

scalable architecture that will function on a wide range of processor platforms, from a small controller to a fully equipped operator work station.” & col.4 lines 22-40 “The command component provides a somewhat related function with respect to certain methods of the standard object that are available for execution through the user interface. Commands represent a subset of the available methods defined in an object. Commands are methods that are visible to outside objects, and to the user interface, so that they can be executed by another object or from the user interface by sending a message to the object. The command component encapsulates the logic 60 performed by these externally visible methods. The commands are also defined in terms of command parameters 62, parameter properties 63 and command properties 64. Parameter properties in turn include data types 65. Similar to the attribute properties 52, these parameter properties 62 and command properties 64 are used to define the available commands so that they can be flexibly changed in developing different standard objects in the application framework.”)

Gloudeman does not disclose

- an automation device-specific adapter for each of the automation devices, each adapter providing a translation of a solution into instructions which can be interpreted by an automation device in a different automation system
- a compiler for translating the solutions into an intermediate language in a runtime framework for further translation into different instructions for automation devices in different automation systems.

But Azarya discloses

- a compiler for translating the solutions into an intermediate language in a runtime framework (col.3 lines 16-32 “*The development system includes a real-time compiler for generating p-code to be executed on the target system. The target system, e.g., the node controller, runs the real-time kernel ... The real-time compiler generates p-code from the combination of event triggers, event actions and program logic making up the user's application. Based on the program logic as expressed in the p-code, various actions are taken in response to changes in the values of the external input signals and/or entities. The real-time kernel functions to implement a state machine that receives inputs and generates outputs. The actions taken by the system are represented as a sequence of frames with each frame representing a unit of action.*”) for further translation into different instructions for automation devices in different automation systems (col.4 lines 56-62 “*The action execution unit performs a method comprising the steps of reading the p-code contents of a frame, analyzing the p-code, reading the values of external input signals and/or internal entities, and performing the command embodied in the p-code, generating any output signals in accordance with the command, and modifying any entity values in accordance with the command.*” & Col.4 lines 63-67 “*In addition, there is provided in accordance with the present invention, in a computer system, a method of generating p-code for execution on a node controller as part of a control automation system for controlling a plurality of input and output (I/O) devices in accordance with a user's application.*” & Col.5 lines 30-37 “*Further, there is provided in accordance with the present invention a node controller apparatus for use in a control automation system, the system for controlling a plurality of input and output (I/O) devices in accordance with*

a user's application, the system including a network for communicating control automation information, the apparatus comprising processor means for managing and controlling the operation of the node controller")

- an automation device-specific adapter for each of the automation devices, each adapter providing a translation of a solution into instructions which can be interpreted by an automation device in a different automation system (same rejection also apply to this element on col.4 lines 56-62; Col.4 lines 63-67 and Col.5 lines 30-37).
- Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine teachings of Gloudeman and further include a compiler for translating the solutions into an intermediate language in a runtime framework for further translation into different instructions for automation devices in different automation systems and each adapter providing a translation of a solution into instructions which can be interpreted by an automation device in a different automation system by the teachings of Azarya in order to provide a method for controlling a plurality of input and output (I/O) devices in accordance with a user's application, performing the command embodied in the p-code, generating any output signals in accordance with the command, and modifying any entity values in accordance with the command.

6. Per claims 24 and 32

the rejection of claim 21 is incorporated

Gloudeman further discloses

- the software system is provided for developing control software in the automation system (col.1 lines 7-11 *“The present invention relates generally to building automation systems. More particularly, the invention relates to a software application development system or framework to facilitate constructing complex building automation applications”*).

7. Per claims 25 and 33

the rejection of claim 21 is incorporated

Gloudeman further discloses

- the software system provides technological objects for automation devices (col.3 lines 3-6 *“Standard objects are the basic components used to construct assembled objects or applications. Standard objects may also be created and downloaded to devices on the system to serve as independent, standalone entities.”*).
- when the system includes m editors and n automation devices, at most, only $n+m$ compilers are required to implement the solution (It combine teachings of Gloudeman and Azarya that will be at least one compiler to implement the solution).

8. Per claims 26 and 34

the rejection of claim 21 is incorporated

Gloudeman discloses

- a memory for storing automation solutions for recurring tasks (col.2 lines 48-53 *“the standard components of the preferred embodiment are illustrated to show how they are related through nesting. In FIG. 1 the shorthand notation 1-n means that the object can*

have one to many instances, depending on a particular building automation problem being solved.”).

9. Per claims 27 and 35

the rejection of claim 26 is incorporated

Gloudeman discloses

- adapted for using the Internet and/or an intranet for transmitting data (col.11 lines 65-67
“Unlike standard objects and assembly objects, an application's components may be distributed across one or more devices over a network.”).

10. Per claims 28 and 36

the rejection of claim 21 is incorporated

Gloudeman discloses

- an automation- specifically designed programming language is used for developing control software for the automation system (col.13 lines 39-42 *“The presently preferred embodiment embeds the application framework in a programming tool that the application developer uses in creating applications to solve problems or meet building automation customer needs.”).*

11. Per claim 31

the rejection of claim 29 is incorporated

Gloudeman discloses

- automation functionality is provided independent of the automation device (col.3 lines 3-6 “*Standard objects are the basic components used to construct assembled objects or applications. Standard objects may also be created and downloaded to devices on the system to serve as independent, standalone entities.*”).

Per claim 38

the rejection of claim 36 is incorporated

Gloudeman discloses

- compilers are provided for mapping the programming language onto the target platform (col.6 lines 33-36 “*The present invention also provides a development system comprising a computer compiler for generating real-time code executable on a real-time kernel that resides in a target system.*”).

Response to Arguments

Applicant’s arguments filed on Sept. 3, 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

- In the remarks, Applicant argues that:
 - (a) In regard to independent claims 21 and 29, applicant noted that references do not disclose or suggest the feature of providing a functionality among automation devices having command sets. Also, applicant further noted that references do not disclose “a compiler for translating the solutions into an intermediate language in a runtime framework for further translation into different instructions for automation devices in different automation systems”

Examiner's response:

Examiner disagrees.

(a) Gloudeman implicitly discloses providing an automation functionality in a standard framework for application among automation devices having different command sets for being programmed. In col.4 lines 22-40 Gloudeman discloses *"The command component provides a somewhat related function with respect to certain methods of the standard object that are available for execution through the user interface. Commands represent a subset of the available methods defined in an object. Commands are methods that are visible to outside objects, and to the user interface, so that they can be executed by another object or from the user interface by sending a message to the object. The command component encapsulates the logic 60 performed by these externally visible methods. The commands are also defined in terms of command parameters 62, parameter properties 63 and command properties 64. Parameter properties in turn include data types 65. Similar to the attribute properties 52, these parameter properties 62 and command properties 64 are used to define the available commands so that they can be flexibly changed in developing different standard objects in the application framework."* Also, Azarya implicitly discloses "a compiler for translating the solutions into an intermediate language in a runtime framework described in col.3 lines 16-32 *"The development system includes a real-time compiler for generating p-code to be executed on the target system..."* for further translation into different instructions for automation devices in different automation systems" (described in col.4 lines 56-62 *"The action execution unit performs a method comprising the steps of reading the p-code contents of a frame, analyzing the p-code,*

reading the values of external input signals and/or internal entities, and performing the command embodied in the p-code, generating any output signals in accordance with the command, and modifying any entity values in accordance with the command.” & Col.4 lines 63-67 “In addition, there is provided in accordance with the present invention, in a computer system, a method of generating p-code for execution on a node controller as part of a control automation system for controlling a plurality of input and output (I/O) devices in accordance with a user's application.” & Col.5 lines 30-37 “Further, there is provided in accordance with the present invention a node controller apparatus for use in a control automation system, the system for controlling a plurality of input and output (I/O) devices in accordance with a user's application, the system including a network for communicating control automation information, the apparatus comprising processor means for managing and controlling the operation of the node controller”). This method is to provide an automated control system that enables a controller to execute a plurality of I/O devices operations that originated in controller attached to other automation systems on the network (refer to Fig.3)

Conclusion

12. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JUNCHUN WU whose telephone number is (571)270-1250. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-17:00 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wei Zhen can be reached on 571-272-3708. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JW
/Wei Y Zhen/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2191